

# CRISPR-mediated phage resistance and the ghost of coevolution past

Pedro F. Vale and Tom J. Little

*Proc. R. Soc. B* 2010 **277**, 2097-2103 first published online 17 March 2010 doi: 10.1098/rspb.2010.0055

References	This article cites 77 articles, 18 of which can be accessed free http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/277/1691/2097.full.html#ref-list-1
	Article cited in: http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/277/1691/2097.full.html#related-urls
EXiS Open Choice	This article is free to access
Subject collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections
	molecular biology (331 articles) ecology (2232 articles) evolution (2532 articles)
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click here

To subscribe to Proc. R. Soc. B go to: http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions



Review

### CRISPR-mediated phage resistance and the ghost of coevolution past

Pedro F. Vale\* and Tom J. Little

Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, The Kings Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, UK

The past is never dead. It's not even past

William Faulkner (1951)

Bacteria can acquire heritable immunity to viral (phage) enemies by incorporating phage DNA into their own genome. This mechanism of anti-viral defence, known by the acronym CRISPR, simultaneously stores detailed information about current and past enemies and the evolved resistance to them. As a high-resolution genetic marker that is intimately tied with the host-pathogen interaction, the CRISPR system offers a unique, and relatively untapped, opportunity to study epidemiological and coevolutionary dynamics in microbial communities that were previously neglected because they could not be cultured in the laboratory. We briefly review the molecular mechanisms of CRISPR-mediated host-pathogen resistance, before assessing their potential importance for coevolution in nature, and their utility as a means of studying coevolutionary dynamics through metagenomics and laboratory experimentation.

Keywords: bacteria; phage; coevolution; evolution; epidemiology; resistance

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Evolution is explicitly about change over generations, and that can make it difficult to study when generation times are long, or when organisms are difficult to routinely assay. It is therefore convenient when evolution leaves behind traces of its activity. Fossils record the past, but offer a poor record of evolution at the molecular level. Fortunately, evidence of the past is often imprinted upon DNA sequences. For example, sequence comparisons can be used to estimate the proportion of differences between species that are driven by natural selection (as opposed to random walks of neutral variation), and even to estimate the speed at which adaptation has proceeded (Nielsen 2005). Such analyses have indicated that, perhaps more than any other class of genes, those of immune systems tend to evolve adaptively and rapidly (Bustamante et al. 2005). This suggests that host-parasite coevolution plays a central role in the maintenance of biological diversity (Buckling & Rainey 2002a; Laine & Tellier 2008). Still, most studies focus on the past evolutionary record of host defence genes, or, separately, of parasite infectivity genes, and thus address coevolution indirectly. Recently, a remarkable mechanism has been described that may provide, in the DNA sequence of a single organism, a detailed molecular record of coevolution. Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs) are arrays of prokaryotic DNA sequences that mediate a form of acquired immunity to specific viral pathogens (Sorek et al. 2008; van der Oost et al. 2009)-something

usually associated with vertebrate defence systems—and in the process provide a record of coevolution past. The study of this defence mechanism has already revealed some fascinating molecular biology, but relatively untapped is the potential to explore the ongoing warfare between hosts and their phage pathogens in natural environments.

#### 2. ACQUIRED, SPECIFIC AND HERITABLE BACTERIAL RESISTANCE TO VIRAL PATHOGENS

Viruses that infect bacteria (bacteriophages) are ubiquitous in natural bacterial communities (Abedon 2008), and in some environments outnumber their bacterial hosts nearly tenfold (Suttle 2005). Bacteria have evolved a number of phage-resistance mechanisms, such as adsorption blocking, restricting the injection of phage genetic material and some abortive infection mechanisms (Sturino & Klaenhammer 2006). An additional mechanism has recently been added to this list: CRISPRs are present in bacterial and archaeal genomes, and are made up of arrays of highly conserved 24- to 47-bp repeats, separated by variable, often unique spacer sequences, derived from foreign replicons such as phage or plasmids (figure 1; Sorek et al. 2008). In a series of elegant experiments (Barrangou et al. 2007; Brouns et al. 2008; Deveau et al. 2008), it was recently shown that: (i) bacterial cells incorporate phage genetic material into CRISPRs as spacers; (ii) acquiring these spacers renders bacterial hosts resistant towards phage that carry the incorporated sequence; (iii) removal of these spacers results in the loss of this resistance; and (iv) single mutations at specific short motifs in the phage genome are sufficient to evolve counter-resistance (figure 1). This potential for reciprocal adaptation to host resistance

<sup>\*</sup> Author and present address for correspondence: Center d'Écologie Fonctionelle et Évolutive (CEFE)—UMR 5175, 1919 Route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier, France (pedro.vale@cefe.cnrs.fr).





Figure 1. A schematic representation of CRISPR-mediated phage resistance. CRISPR-associated (Cas) proteins play an important role in the initial recognition of phage genetic material and incorporating these proto-spacers in the CRISPR array (1). Once incorporated, these spacers are then transcribed (2) and used as templates to target homologous phage sequences within the bacterial cell, again mediated by Cas protein complexes (3). The bottom left of the figure illustrates the specificity of CRISPR-mediated resistance. While resistance to a specific phage genotype can be acquired by incorporating a spacer derived from that genotype, point mutations in the phage (represented by the black squares) are sufficient to evade resistance. Hosts are only able to resist this mutant by incorporating a phage-derived spacer containing the new mutation. This could lead to an ongoing 'arms race', with hosts incorporating more spacers in response to increasing phage mutation accumulation. The order in which these spacers are incorporated also provides a sequential record of past phage infections.

and pathogen infectivity marks CRISPRs as a potential molecular mechanism for coevolution.

An integral part of this anti-phage defence mechanism is the action of CRISPR-associated (Cas) proteins. Cas proteins are usually found adjacent and upstream of CRISPR arrays, and show high sequence and structural similarity to proteins with endonuclease, and DNA- and RNA-binding functions (Jansen et al. 2002; Wiedenheft et al. 2009). Because of this feature, they have been thought to function similarly to RNA-interference (RNAi) genes (reviewed in Marraffini & Sontheimer 2010a), which themselves mediate a rapidly evolving antiviral defence in eukaryotes (Ding et al. 2004; Obbard et al. 2009). However, despite some similarities, their protein machineries are distinct (Makarova et al. 2006) and, unlike RNAi, CRISPR-Cas complexes appear to bind almost exclusively to DNA, suggesting that RNAi and Cas proteins share little phylogenetic relation (Mojica et al. 2009).

The molecular details are still being unravelled, but the anti-viral action of the CRISPR–Cas complex appears to proceed in three distinct stages (figure 1; reviewed in

Proc. R. Soc. B (2010)

Marraffini & Sontheimer 2010a). In the initial stage of viral invasion, complexes of Cas proteins target and cleave short recognition motifs in the phage genome (Deveau et al. 2008; Mojica et al. 2009), and incorporate these 'proto-spacers' into the host genome at the 5' end of the CRISPR locus (Barrangou et al. 2007). These short (23-30 bp) incorporated 'spacers' are then transcribed (stage 2) as CRISPR RNAs (CrRNAs) that contain the spacer sequence flanked on either side by partial repeat sequences (Brouns et al. 2008), resulting in large-scale amplification of that specific sequence. It is still unclear whether all spacers (e.g. Lillestol et al. 2009) or only the most recently incorporated (e.g. Brouns et al. 2008) are transcribed during this step. The final stage involves interference with phage-derived sequences, again mediated by Cas-protein complexes, whereby CrRNAs serve as templates to target conserved viral motifs in subsequent infections (Brouns et al. 2008). How do CRISPRs avoid targeting and degrading their own phage-derived CRISPR spacer? Viral targets are identified by direct Watson-Crick pairing, and viral degradation seems to be triggered by mismatches at specific positions between

the viral sequence and the repeat sequences flanking the phage-derived spacer (Marraffini & Sontheimer 2010b). Therefore, CRISPRs are truly a microbial immune system (Horvath & Barrangou 2010), allowing strainspecific resistance to be acquired, a memory of past infections that permits resistance against future encounters, while assuring host integrity through self/non-self discrimination.

How common is CRISPR-mediated defence in nature? Recently, identifying and characterizing the diversity of CRISPR-Cas complexes in the wild has been made possible by high-throughput next-generation sequencing technologies (Mardis 2008). Using a metagenomic approach (Hugenholtz & Tyson 2008), environmental samples can be entirely sequenced and screened with recently developed CRISPR- and Cas-finding algorithms (Haft et al. 2005; Bland et al. 2007; Edgar 2007; Grissa et al. 2007, 2008a), which are now freely available through web-based resources (Grissa et al. 2008b; also see CRISPR databases at http://crispr.u-psud.fr and http://crispi.genouest.org). These ever-growing interactive databases find CRISPR-Cas complexes in roughly 40 per cent of bacterial and nearly 90 per cent of archaeal genomes tested so far. Up to 45 Cas protein families have been described based on amino acid sequences (Haft et al. 2005). Two of these proteins, Cas 1 and Cas 2, seem to be present among all CRISPR systems described to date, and so offer potential universal markers of CRISPR-mediated defence systems in microbes (Makarova et al. 2006; Sorek et al. 2008). The crystal structure of Cas 1 indicates that it has a metal-dependent DNase activity, so it is thought to be involved in the initial recognition and acquisition of viral motifs (Wiedenheft et al. 2009), whereas Cas 2 has been shown to cleave single-stranded RNA within U-rich regions.

Beyond mechanisms, CRISPRs offer a unique window into the history of bacteria-phage warfare, as exposure to a specific combination of phage strains leaves behind a unique set of spacers in the host bacterial genome that simultaneously represents both infective phage strains that have been prevalent in the environment and the specific resistance bacteria have acquired. Because phage-derived spacers are incorporated at the CRISPR 5' leader sequence (Barrangou et al. 2007), the ordered sequence of spacers essentially gives a temporal record of the infection history in that bacterial population. Hence, CRISPRs offer a high-resolution method for molecular typing of bacterial hosts and their pathogens based on the unique CRISPR signature. Such record-keeping of both host and pathogen genetic variation over time is unparalleled in any other host-pathogen system. Therefore, CRISPRs might be especially informative in determining the history of phage host ranges, which would be impossible to determine from other resistance mechanisms. Below we highlight how CRISPRs offer a valuable tool for studies of coevolution, both in the laboratory and, importantly, in the wild.

#### 3. PATTERNS OF ADAPTATION IN SPACE AND TIME

Bacterial CRISPRs offer a unique opportunity to address questions of coevolutionary dynamics in natural populations, because only bacteria, which contain a record of both their evolution and phage evolution in their CRISPR signatures, need to be sampled. Crucially, metagenomic analyses can be carried out without the need to culture bacteria in the laboratory, which means that CRISPR-mediated coevolution can be studied in noncultivable bacteria. This approach potentially permits coevolutionary dynamics to be studied in detail, either by geographic sampling to identify patterns of local adaptation, or by temporal sampling where hosts and phage are directly and simultaneously tracked over time.

One of the tenets of host-parasite coevolution is that antagonistic selection proceeds through local adaptation of pathogens to common host genotypes, followed by counter-adaptation of hosts (Hamilton 1993; Woolhouse et al. 2002). Accordingly, the most common method for inferring coevolution in wild populations is to sample over geographic space and test whether hosts and parasites are locally adapted (Greischar & Koskella 2007). Recently, this approach has been extended to analyses of CRISPR sequences from environmental samples. For example, Kunin et al. (2008) examined samples from two geographically distant (USA and Australia) sludge bioreactors. Focusing on the dominant, and as yet uncultured, microbial species (Candidatus Accumulibacter phosphatis or CAP), analysis of CRISPR arrays gave strikingly different results from a thorough analysis of the rest of the bacterial genome. Specifically, analysis of CRISPR arrays revealed that there were no common spacer sequences between the two populations, while there was very little divergence at 48 other loci. To reconcile these differences, the authors hypothesized that CAP strains disperse widely to become genetically homogeneous (hence to very low divergence found in most of the genome), but differences in exposure to local phage populations lead to rapid divergence of CRISPR loci. By sequencing the phage meta-genome from the USA bioreactor, they found 11 CRISPR spacers with matches to sympatric phage genome fragments. Thus, the CRISPR record of interaction with phage, coupled with geographical sampling, suggests a coevolutionary mosaic that overpowers the effects of dispersal. A similar result was found in a comparative genomic analysis of Sulfolobus islandicus CRISPR arrays and spindle-shaped virus (SSV) sequences, where viruses exhibited clear biogeographic structure apparently driven by ongoing adaptation to local host strains (Held & Whitaker 2009).

The importance for coevolution of ecological factors, such as productivity (Lopez-Pascua & Buckling 2008), migration (Morgan et al. 2005) and spatial structuring (Morgan et al. 2007), has been addressed with experimental evolution in controlled laboratory environments. CRISPR studies such as those described above, which employ structured sampling strategies, potentially allow analogous coevolutionary dynamics to be studied in natural populations. However, a key limitation of this approach is that inferring local adaptation from the presence of spacers and the corresponding phage sequence could be misleading if not all spacers are always expressed (e.g. Brouns et al. 2008), or if the CRISPR system is not functional. Metagenomic studies in conjunction with phenotypic assays of phage infectivity on local bacterial hosts would unequivocally determine the level of local adaptation, but in many cases laboratory cultures of microbes are difficult to establish (but see Vos et al. 2009).

CRISPR studies have shown that our inability to culture the vast majority of bacteria does not necessarily stop us from accurately inferring coevolutionary dynamics. This is certainly the case for microbial biofilms of thermophilic (Synechcoccus thermophilus) or acidophilic (Leptospirillum) bacteria and their natural phage pathogens (Tyson & Banfield 2008; Heidelberg et al. 2009). Comparative genomics of CRISPR arrays with the viral meta-genomes from these environments demonstrated a history of selective sweeps at a CRISPR locus (Tyson & Banfield 2008) and an abundance of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the viral sequence corresponding to all but the most recently incorporated spacers (Heidelberg et al. 2009). This suggests a scenario of rapid coevolutionary interactions between the microbial hosts and phage that maintains population-wide genetic diversity. It appears that such records of coevolution past may run extremely deep. For example, CRISPR from Leptospirillum populations found in acid mine drainage and subaerial biofilms (39°C, pH approx. 1; Andersson & Banfield 2008) were found to contain 37 distinct CRISPR arrays containing a total of 6044 spacer sequences (of which 2348 were unique). Most of these were of viral origin (up to 40 per cent at a single CRISPR locus), although some came from extrachromosomal elements such as plasmids and transposons, indicating that CRISPR loci may, for reasons that are not yet clear, contain records of other types of partnership (Marraffini & Sontheimer 2008).

The studies described above used a spatial sampling strategy to test for local adaptation. Such spatial patterns of local adaptation can indirectly indicate the action of coevolution, but regular and frequent sampling through time offers a more direct window on the process. As with spatial sampling, it would add value to confirm field patterns of phage adaptation with laboratory experimentation, specifically via time-shift experiments, where antagonists are sampled at different time points and controlled infections carried out between them (Gaba & Ebert 2009). By observing the patterns of infectivity among these combinations, one may infer the underlying coevolutionary dynamics (Gandon et al. 2008). Time-shift experiments have been achieved, for example, in laboratory studies of Pseudomonas bacteria and phage (Buckling & Rainey 2002b; Brockhurst et al. 2003), and on larger organisms in the field by collecting resting eggs of Daphnia and long-living parasite transmission spores from dated lake sediment cores (Decaestecker et al. 2007). What has never been achieved is a union of field observation, phenotypic assays and documentation of their molecular underpinnings. One test of parasite local adaptation in a plant-pathogen system (Laine 2007) reported discordant results when measuring parasite fitness in either a field-transplant experiment or a laboratory cross-infection experiment. If CRISPRs are employed to investigate patterns of coevolution across time or space in a bacterial system that is also amenable to laboratory culture, the intersection between laboratory and field-based investigations would provide clear benefits to our understanding of local adaptation and coevolutionary dynamics (Nuismer & Gandon 2008).

Work in some host-pathogen systems has sought to infer the nature of coevolutionary dynamics from the underlying genetics of infection. Most notably, workers have compared the propensity of the 'gene-for-gene' and

'matching allele' infection models to promote and maintain genetic polymorphism (Agrawal & Lively 2002). However, in conventional models, resistant phenotypes are derived by mutations on the host genome, whereas CRISPR-mediated resistance is explicitly linked to genetic variance in the prevailing pathogen population. It is therefore difficult to predict CRISPR-mediated coevolutionary dynamics without some knowledge of the infection genetics particular to this mechanism. For example, CRISPR-mediated systems suggest exquisite specificity: hosts are no longer protected from phage that acquires a single mutation in spacer-derived sequences. These phages are thus universally infective and should quickly sweep to high frequency. Will this happen so fast that the new phage mutants quickly fix in populations, eroding genetic variation in the process? Or will acquisition of a phage-derived spacer into a CRISPR locus occur quickly enough to halt the march to fixation? It is presently unclear how rapidly populations can acquire resistance via CRISPR; that is, what proportion of infected host cells incorporate viral spacers before lysis occurs.

One may also speculate on the relative importance of CRISPR-based resistance relative to other phageresistance mechanisms, such as cell-surface receptor modification. Receptor modification offers a first line of defence that impedes the entry of most phages, but mutations at these receptors often come at some metabolic cost to the host, as they are the same receptors involved in nutrient uptake (e.g. Ferenci et al. 1980). In addition, viral population sizes and mutation rates are much higher than for their bacterial hosts (Drake et al. 1998), so such resistance can in principle be easily evaded. Depending on how efficient spacer acquisition by CRISPRs is, such a second line of defence once phage have entered the host cell could relax the costs associated with receptor modification, and also provide an invaluable chance of halting infection once counterresistance to receptor modification has occurred. This interplay between CRISPR and other defence mechanisms is yet to be explored, and addressing these issues will tell us much about the genetic constraints on CRISPR-mediated resistance evolution (in particular, the rates at which CRISPRs evolve relative to changes in pathogen-imposed selection over time).

## 4. MEASURING THE COSTS OF CRISPR-MEDIATED RESISTANCE

Coevolutionary dynamics and levels of polymorphism in resistance are tightly linked with the costs associated with resisting infection (Antonovics & Thrall 1994). Costs of resistance are a common feature of most hostparasite systems (Sheldon & Verhulst 1996), and reveal a trade-off (a negative co-variance) between resistance and other life-history traits (e.g. Kraaijeveld & Godfray 1997). If CRISPR-mediated resistance were not costly, we would expect an indefinite accumulation of phagederived spacers in the host genome. There may be costs associated with CRISPR length, or with the number of CRISPRs on the genome, and such costs may maintain polymorphism in the number and identity of spacers present in the bacterial population. So far, measurements of costs of resistance in wild bacteria-phage systems are limited (Lennon et al. 2007), and whether CRISPR is

costly owing to acquisition of additional spacers has yet to be tested.

There are several potential ways that CRISPRs might be a costly system. As hosts incorporate more spacers (figure 1), longer CRISPR arrays may simply take longer to replicate, resulting in reduced growth rate. A linked issue is that as CRISPR arrays get longer, the transcription of the repeat sequence separating phage-derived spacers may cause loss of replication fidelity during cell division, similar to what occurs in microsatellites (Bzymek & Lovett 2001). Hence, hosts with long CRISPR arrays might accumulate a larger number of deleterious mutations and, consequently, have lower fitness. Considering how CRISPRs might be costly highlights several unknowns about how this mechanism provides a memory of past infections. How many spacers are expressed during infection? That is, do CRISPR loci allow memory against enemies from the distant past, or are defences maintained only for the most recent pathogenic encounters? Are there physiological costs of expressing many spacers? Does variation in CRISPR array size correlate with the diversity of phage that hosts are exposed to? A recent theoretical exploration of the evolution of gene expression in host-parasite systems (Nuismer & Otto 2005) found that, while coevolution often favours the co-expression of resistance alleles in hosts (maximizing the chances of pathogen recognition), this depends on the underlying infection genetics and on the costs of resistance. While measuring costs of resistance is often challenging (Pease & Bull 1988; Mealor & Boots 2006), if CRISPRs can be incorporated into a laboratory system then we could exploit the practical advantages of experimental evolution with microbes (Jessup et al. 2004; Buckling et al. 2009) to quantify the mode and strength of selection on CRISPR-mediated resistance in controlled environments.

#### 5. EXPERIMENTAL EVOLUTIONARY EPIDEMIOLOGY

Evolutionary biology is, in principle, well poised to understand the processes and mechanisms that underpin changing pathogenicity, with well-established general theories of adaptation (Fisher 1930; Price 1972), invasion dynamics (May et al. 2001; Schreiber & Lloyd-Smith 2009) and host-pathogen coevolution (Anderson & May 1982; Best et al. 2009; Gandon & Day 2009). But how do evolution and epidemics interact to determine the population burden of disease? A key challenge for future research is to establish an experimental system where CRISPRs may directly inform on viral epidemiological and evolutionary dynamics in natural populations. What is required is a host-pathogen system where it is possible to monitor epidemics regularly and to identify host and pathogen genotypes present during all stages of epidemic onset. Microbial systems where seasonal viral epidemics are known to occur (e.g. Yoshida et al. 2008) would appear to be good candidates for such studies, and if CRISPRs are added to the equation, there is the potential for frequent field sampling to reveal the genetic details of epidemic onset. The advantage of CRISPRs would be in providing a highresolution genetic marker that recapitulates the history of infections in the host genome and allows individual phage strains to be tracked by following their presence on these same host genomes. With this information in hand, it is then possible to begin addressing specific questions about the dynamics of adaptation in the context of epidemic spread: does pathogen adaptation occur mainly from standing genetic variation or from novel mutation (Hermisson & Pennings 2005; Barrett & Schluter 2008)? What effect do bottlenecks have on the contributions of selection or drift during pathogen emergence (Dlugosch & Parker 2008)? Is there clonal interference between invading strains during epidemic onset (Miralles *et al.* 1999; Pepin & Wichman 2008), and how does recombination between them affect the epidemiological dynamics (Colegrave 2002; Cooper 2007)?

While the existence of CRISPR arrays in prokaryotes was originally recognized in the late 1980s (Ishino et al. 1987), appreciation of their role in mediating resistance against viral pathogens is very recent. The metagenomic-based CRISPR studies described here have already demonstrated the potential to observe bacteria and phage coevolution in their natural settings and in real time, but many opportunities for fieldwork remain. Characterizing this mechanism in host-pathogen systems that are known to coevolve and are amenable to laboratory life (e.g. Vos et al. 2009) will further expand the range of questions that can be explored, but the key opportunity would seem to be the possibility of detailed analysis of coevolution in the wild. The molecular bookkeeping of the CRISPR system may thus help unravel the nature of the coevolutionary process under natural conditions of temporal and spatial environmental heterogeneity.

T.J.L. and P.F.V. are funded by a Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship in Basic Biomedical Sciences awarded to T.J.L.

We are grateful to S. Gandon, A. Hall, D. Obbard, A. Ross-Gillespie, T. Berngruber and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments that improved the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Abedon, S. T. 2008 Bacteriophage ecology: population growth, evolution, and impact of bacterial viruses. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Agrawal, A. & Lively, C. M. 2002 Infection genetics: genefor-gene versus matching-alleles models and all points in between. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* **4**, 79–90.
- Andersson, A. F. & Banfield, J. F. 2008 Virus population dynamics and acquired virus resistance in natural microbial communities. *Science* **320**, 1047–1050. (doi:10.1126/science.1157358)
- Anderson, R. M. & May, R. M. 1982 Coevolution of hosts and parasites. *Parasitology* 85, 411–426. (doi:10.1017/ S0031182000055360)
- Antonovics, J. & Thrall, P. H. 1994 The cost of resistance and maintenance of genetic polymorphism in host-pathogen systems. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 257, 105–110. (doi:10. 1098/rspb.1994.0101)
- Barrangou, R., Fremaux, C., Deveau, H., Richards, M., Boyaval, P., Moineau, S., Romero, D. A. & Horvath, P. 2007 CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. *Science* 315, 1709–1712. (doi:10. 1126/science.1138140)
- Barrett, R. & Schluter, D. 2008 Adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 23, 38–44. (doi:10. 1016/j.tree.2007.09.008)

- Best, A., White, A. & Boots, M. 2009 The implications of coevolutionary dynamics to host-parasite interactions. *Am. Nat.* 173, 779–791. (doi:10.1086/598494)
- Bland, C., Ramsey, T. L., Sabree, F., Lowe, M., Brown, K., Kyrpides, N. C. & Hugenholtz, P. 2007 CRISPR recognition tool (CRT): a tool for automatic detection of clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeats. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8, 209. (doi:10.1186/1471-2105-8-209)
- Brockhurst, M., Morgan, A., Rainey, P. & Buckling, A. 2003 Population mixing accelerates coevolution. *Ecol. Lett.* 6, 975–979. (doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00531.x)
- Brouns, S. J. *et al.* 2008 Small CRISPR RNAs guide antiviral defense in prokaryotes. *Science* **321**, 960–964. (doi:10. 1126/science.1159689)
- Buckling, A. & Rainey, P. B. 2002a The role of parasites in sympatric and allopatric host diversification. *Nature* 420, 496–499. (doi:10.1038/nature01164)
- Buckling, A. & Rainey, P. B. 2002b Antagonistic coevolution between a bacterium and a bacteriophage. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 269, 931–936. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2001.1945)
- Buckling, A., Craig Maclean, R., Brockhurst, M. A. & Colegrave, N. 2009 The Beagle in a bottle. *Nature* 457, 824–829. (doi:10.1038/nature07892)
- Bustamante, C. D. et al. 2005 Natural selection on proteincoding genes in the human genome. Nature 437, 1153– 1157. (doi:10.1038/nature04240)
- Bzymek, M. & Lovett, S. T. 2001 Instability of repetitive DNA sequences: the role of replication in multiple mechanisms. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 8319–8325. (doi:10.1073/pnas.111008398)
- Colegrave, N. 2002 Sex releases the speed limit on evolution. *Nature* **420**, 664–666. (doi:10.1038/nature01191)
- Cooper, T. F. 2007 Recombination speeds adaptation by reducing competition between beneficial mutations in populations of *Escherichia coli*. *PLoS Biol.* **5**, e225. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050225)
- Decaestecker, E., Gaba, S., Raeymaekers, J. A., Stoks, R., Van Kerckhoven, L., Ebert, D. & De Meester, L. 2007 Host– parasite 'Red Queen' dynamics archived in pond sediment. *Nature* 450, 870–873. (doi:10.1038/nature06291)
- Deveau, H., Barrangou, R., Garneau, J. E., Labonte, J., Fremaux, C., Boyaval, P., Romero, D. A., Horvath, P. & Moineau, S. 2008 Phage response to CRISPR-encoded resistance in *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 1390–1400. (doi:10.1128/JB.01412-07)
- Ding, S. W., Li, H., Lu, R., Li, F. & Li, W. X. 2004 RNA silencing: a conserved antiviral immunity of plants and animals. *Virus Res.* 102, 109–115. (doi:10.1016/j. virusres.2004.01.021)
- Dlugosch, K. M. & Parker, I. M. 2008 Founding events in species invasions: genetic variation, adaptive evolution, and the role of multiple introductions. *Mol. Ecol.* 17, 431–449. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03538.x)
- Drake, J. W., Charlesworth, B., Charlesworth, D. & Crow, J. F. 1998 Rates of spontaneous mutation. *Genetics* 148, 1667–1686.
- Edgar, R. C. 2007 PILER-CR: fast and accurate identification of CRISPR repeats. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8, 18. (doi:10.1186/1471-2105-8-18)
- Faulkner, W. 1951 *Requiem for a nun.* New York, NY: Random House.
- Ferenci, T., Brass, J. & Boos, W. 1980 The role of the periplasmic maltose-binding protein and the outer-membrane phage lambda receptor in maltodextrin transport of *Escherichia coli. Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 8, 680–681.
- Fisher, R. A. 1930 *The genetical theory of natural selection*. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.
- Gaba, S. & Ebert, D. 2009 Time-shift experiments as a tool to study antagonistic coevolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 24, 226–232. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2008.11.005)

- Gandon, S. & Day, T. 2009 Evolutionary epidemiology and the dynamics of adaptation. *Evolution* **63**, 826–838. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00609.x)
- Gandon, S., Buckling, A., Decaestecker, E. & Day, T. 2008 Host-parasite coevolution and patterns of adaptation across time and space. *J. Evol. Biol.* **21**, 1861–1866. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01598.x)
- Greischar, M. A. & Koskella, B. 2007 A synthesis of experimental work on parasite local adaptation. *Ecol. Lett.* 10, 418–434. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01028.x)
- Grissa, I., Vergnaud, G. & Pourcel, C. 2007 CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, W52–W57. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkm360)
- Grissa, I., Vergnaud, G. & Pourcel, C. 2008a CRISPRcompar: a website to compare clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, W145– W148. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkn228)
- Grissa, I., Bouchon, P., Pourcel, C. & Vergnaud, G. 2008b On-line resources for bacterial micro-evolution studies using MLVA or CRISPR typing. *Biochimie* **90**, 660–668. (doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2007.07.014)
- Haft, D. H., Selengut, J., Mongodin, E. F. & Nelson, K. E. 2005 A guild of 45 CRISPR-associated (Cas) protein families and multiple CRISPR/Cas subtypes exist in prokaryotic genomes. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 1, e60. (doi:10. 1371/journal.pcbi.0010060)
- Hamilton, W. D. 1993 Haploid dynamic polymorphism in a host with matching parasites: effects of mutation subdivision, linkage, and patterns of selection. *Heredity* 84, 328-338.
- Heidelberg, J. F., Nelson, W. C., Schoenfeld, T. & Bhaya, D. 2009 Germ warfare in a microbial mat community: CRISPRs provide insights into the co-evolution of host and viral genomes. *PLoS ONE* 4, e4169. (doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0004169)
- Held, N. L. & Whitaker, R. J. 2009 Viral biogeography revealed by signatures in *Sulfolobus islandicus* genomes. *Environ. Microbiol.* **11**, 457–466. (doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01784.x)
- Hermisson, J. & Pennings, P. S. 2005 Soft sweeps: molecular population genetics of adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Genetics* 169, 2335–2352. (doi:10.1534/genetics. 104.036947)
- Horvath, P. & Barrangou, R. 2010 CRISPR/Cas, the immune system of bacteria and archaea. *Science* 327, 167–170. (doi:10.1126/science.1179555)
- Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W. 2008 Microbiology: metagenomics. *Nature* **455**, 481–483. (doi:10.1038/ 455481a)
- Ishino, Y., Shinagawa, H., Makino, K., Amemura, M. & Nakata, A. 1987 Nucleotide sequence of the iap gene, responsible for alkaline phosphatase isozyme conversion in *Escherichia coli*, and identification of the gene product. *J. Bacteriol.* 169, 5429–5433.
- Jansen, R., van Embden, J. D., Gaastra, W. & Schouls, L. M. 2002 Identification of a novel family of sequence repeats among prokaryotes. *Omics* 6, 23–33. (doi:10.1089/ 15362310252780816)
- Jessup, C. M., Kassen, R., Forde, S. E., Kerr, B., Buckling, A., Rainey, P. B. & Bohannan, B. J. 2004 Big questions, small worlds: microbial model systems in ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **19**, 189–197. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2004.01.008)
- Kraaijeveld, A. R. & Godfray, H. C. 1997 Trade-off between parasitoid resistance and larval competitive ability in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nature* 389, 278–280. (doi:10. 1038/38483)
- Kunin, V. et al. 2008 A bacterial metapopulation adapts locally to phage predation despite global dispersal. Genome Res. 18, 293–297. (doi:10.1101/gr.6835308)

- Laine, A. L. 2007 Detecting local adaptation in a natural plant-pathogen metapopulation: a laboratory vs. field transplant approach. *J. Evol. Biol.* **20**, 1665–1673. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2007.01359.x)
- Laine, A. L. & Tellier, A. 2008 Heterogeneous selection promotes maintenance of polymorphism in host-parasite interactions. *Oikos* 117, 1281–1288. (doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2008.16563.x)
- Lennon, J. T., Khatana, S. A., Marston, M. F. & Martiny, J. B. 2007 Is there a cost of virus resistance in marine cyanobacteria? *Isme J.* 1, 300–312.
- Lillestol, R. K., Shah, S. A., Brugger, K., Redder, P., Phan, H., Christiansen, J. & Garrett, R. A. 2009 CRISPR families of the crenarchaeal genus *Sulfolobus*: bidirectional transcription and dynamic properties. *Mol. Microbiol.* 72, 259–272. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06641.x)
- Lopez-Pascua, L. C. & Buckling, A. 2008 Increasing productivity accelerates host-parasite coevolution. *J. Evol. Biol.* 21, 853–860. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008. 01501.x)
- Makarova, K. S., Grishin, N. V., Shabalina, S. A., Wolf, Y. I. & Koonin, E. V. 2006 A putative RNA-interference-based immune system in prokaryotes: computational analysis of the predicted enzymatic machinery, functional analogies with eukaryotic RNAi, and hypothetical mechanisms of action. *Biol. Direct* 1, 7. (doi:10.1186/ 1745-6150-1-7)
- Mardis, E. R. 2008 Next-generation DNA sequencing methods. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. 9, 387– 402. (doi:10.1146/annurev.genom.9.081307.164359)
- Marraffini, L. A. & Sontheimer, E. J. 2008 CRISPR interference limits horizontal gene transfer in *Staphylococci* by targeting DNA. *Science* 322, 1843–1845. (doi:10.1126/ science.1165771)
- Marraffini, L. A. & Sontheimer, E. J. 2010a CRISPR interference: RNA-directed adaptive immunity in bacteria and archaea. Nat. Rev. Genet. 11, 181–190. (doi:10.1038/ nrg2749)
- Marraffini, L. A. & Sontheimer, E. J. 2010b Self versus non-self discrimination during CRISPR RNAdirected immunity. *Nature* 463, 568–571. (doi:10.1038/ nature08703)
- May, R. M., Gupta, S. & McLean, A. R. 2001 Infectious disease dynamics: what characterizes a successful invader? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 356, 901–910. (doi:10. 1098/rstb.2001.0866)
- Mealor, M. A. & Boots, M. 2006 An indirect approach to imply trade-off shapes: population level patterns in resistance suggest a decreasingly costly resistance mechanism in a model insect system. *J. Evol. Biol.* **19**, 326–330. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2005.01031.x)
- Miralles, R., Gerrish, P. J., Moya, A. & Elena, S. F. 1999 Clonal interference and the evolution of RNA viruses. *Science* 285, 1745–1747. (doi:10.1126/science.285.5434. 1745)
- Mojica, F. J., Diez-Villasenor, C., Garcia-Martinez, J. & Almendros, C. 2009 Short motif sequences determine the targets of the prokaryotic CRISPR defence system. *Microbiology* 155, 733–740. (doi:10.1099/mic.0. 023960-0)
- Morgan, A., Gandon, S. & Buckling, A. 2005 The effect of migration on local adaptation in a coevolving host– parasite system. *Nature* 437, 253–256. (doi:10.1038/ nature03913)
- Morgan, A. D., Brockhurst, M. A., Lopez-Pascua, L. D., Pal, C. & Buckling, A. 2007 Differential impact of simultaneous migration on coevolving hosts and parasites. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 7, 1. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-1)

- Nielsen, R. 2005 Molecular signatures of natural selection. Annu. Rev. Genet. 39, 197–218. (doi:10.1146/annurev. genet.39.073003.112420)
- Nuismer, S. L. & Gandon, S. 2008 Moving beyond common-garden and transplant designs: insight into the causes of local adaptation in species interactions. Am. Nat. 171, 658–668. (doi:10.1086/587077)
- Nuismer, S. L. & Otto, S. P. 2005 Host-parasite interactions and the evolution of gene expression. *PLoS Biol.* **3**, e203. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030203)
- Obbard, D. J., Gordon, K. H., Buck, A. H. & Jiggins, F. M. 2009 The evolution of RNAi as a defence against viruses and transposable elements. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **364**, 99–115. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0168)
- Pease, C. M. & Bull, J. J. 1988 A critique of methods for measuring life-history trade-offs. *J. Evol. Biol.* 1, 293–303. (doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.1988.1040293.x)
- Pepin, K. M. & Wichman, H. A. 2008 Experimental evolution and genome sequencing reveal variation in levels of clonal interference in large populations of bacteriophage phiX174. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 8, 85. (doi:10.1186/ 1471-2148-8-85)
- Price, G. R. 1972 Fisher's 'fundamental theorem' made clear. Ann. Hum. Genet. **36**, 129–140. (doi:10.1111/j. 1469-1809.1972.tb00764.x)
- Schreiber, S. J. & Lloyd-Smith, J. O. 2009 Invasion dynamics in spatially heterogeneous environments. Am. Nat. 174, 490–505. (doi:10.1086/605405)
- Sheldon, B. C. & Verhulst, S. 1996 Ecological immunology: costly parasite defences and trade-offs in evolutionary ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **11**, 317–321. (doi:10.1016/ 0169-5347(96)10039-2)
- Sorek, R., Kunin, V. & Hugenholtz, P. 2008 CRISPR—a widespread system that provides acquired resistance against phages in bacteria and archaea. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 181–186. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro1793)
- Sturino, J. M. & Klaenhammer, T. R. 2006 Engineered bacteriophage-defence systems in bioprocessing. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 4, 395–404. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro1393)
- Suttle, C. A. 2005 Viruses in the sea. *Nature* **437**, 356–361. (doi:10.1038/nature04160)
- Tyson, G. W. & Banfield, J. F. 2008 Rapidly evolving CRISPRs implicated in acquired resistance of microorganisms to viruses. *Environ. Microbiol.* **10**, 200–207.
- van der Oost, J., Jore, M. M., Westra, E. R., Lundgren, M. & Brouns, S. J. 2009 CRISPR-based adaptive and heritable immunity in prokaryotes. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 34, 401–407. (doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2009.05.002)
- Vos, M., Birkett, P. J., Birch, E., Griffiths, R. I. & Buckling, A. 2009 Local adaptation of bacteriophages to their bacterial hosts in soil. *Science* **325**, 833. (doi:10.1126/ science.1174173)
- Wiedenheft, B., Zhou, K., Jinek, M., Coyle, S. M., Ma, W. & Doudna, J. A. 2009 Structural basis for DNase activity of a conserved protein implicated in CRISPR-mediated genome defense. *Structure* 17, 904–912. (doi:10.1016/j. str.2009.03.019)
- Woolhouse, M. E., Webster, J. P., Domingo, E., Charlesworth, B. & Levin, B. R. 2002 Biological and biomedical implications of the co-evolution of pathogens and their hosts. *Nat. Genet.* **32**, 569–577. (doi:10.1038/ ng1202-569)
- Yoshida, M., Yoshida, T., Kashima, A., Takashima, Y., Hosoda, N., Nagasaki, K. & Hiroishi, S. 2008 Ecological dynamics of the toxic bloom-forming cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* and its cyanophages in freshwater. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 3269–3273. (doi:10.1128/ AEM.02240-07)